

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,510	04/01/2004	Evelyn N. Drake	2003UR021	8615
7590 07/12/2005 EXXONMOBIL UPSTREAM RESEARCH COMPANY			EXAMINER	
			HUGHES, SCOTT A	
	P.O. Box 2189 Houston, TX 77252-2189 ART UNIT PAPER NUM		PAPER NUMBER	
			3663	
		DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/816,510	DRAKE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott A. Hughes	3663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>	ly 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
AMachina and (a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
						

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 18 is written as being dependent upon 16 when it refers to the limitations of claim 17. For the purposes of this action, Claim 18 will be examined as though it depends from claim 17 instead of claim 16. Appropriate correction is required.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-16 and 19-20, drawn to methods for increasing the rise time of air bubbles emitted from a diffuser in water, classified in class 367, subclass 24.
 - II. Claims 17-18, drawn to an apparatus for creating a bubble layer in water, classified in class 367, subclass 141.
 - 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The
 inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be
 practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as
 claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §
 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used as an airgun in a seismic survey
 where the bubbles are not subjected to a chemical additive.

Page 3

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- Upon election of Group I or Group II, the applicant is further required under 4. U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims are generic):
 - A. Coating the diffuser before use with a chemical additive.
- B. Mixing a chemical additive in with the air within the diffuser before the bubbles are emitted from the diffuser.
- C. Injecting a chemical additive into the water in the region where the bubbles are emitted from the diffuser.
 - D. Mixing a chemical additive into the diffuser during fabrication.
- 5. Upon election of species A, B, C, or D, the applicant is further required under U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims are generic):

Application/Control Number: 10/816,510

Art Unit: 3663

a. Using a chemical additive have bubble coalescence retardation

properties.

b. Using a chemical additive having wetting agent properties.

c. Using a chemical additive having both bubble coalescence

retardation properties and wetting agent properties.

6. <u>Upon election of species a, b, or c,</u> the applicant is further required under U.S.C.

121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to

which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable

(currently, no claims are generic):

aa. The diffuser is a perforated hose made

Page 4

from polymeric material.

bb. The diffuser is a perforated hose made

from elastomeric material.

7. <u>Upon election of species aa or bb</u>, the applicant is further required under U.S.C.

121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to

which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable

(currently, no claims are generic):

i. Preconditioning the diffuser by

soaking it in fresh water before coating it.

Application/Control Number: 10/816,510

Art Unit: 3663

ii. Preconditioning the diffuser by bubbling it in fresh water before coating it.

Page 5

- iii. Preconditioning the diffuser by soaking it in salt water before coating it.
- iv. Preconditioning the diffuser by bubbling it in salt water before coating it.
- 8. <u>Upon election of the species identified above (paragraphs 4-7)</u>, the applicant is further required to elect a single species under U.S.C. 121 for the purpose of examination. This additional requirement is to facilitate examining due to the broad range of materials or compositions that can be included in the chemical additives of the applicant's bubble layer process.
 - 1. Elect the chemical additive (e.g. Exxal-8 or Pluronic L81).
- 9. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 10. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 3663

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 11. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott A. Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-6983. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on (571) 272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/816,510

Art Unit: 3663

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAH

JACK KEITH
PRIMARY EXAMINER